

# **Arctic-lower latitude linkages (Day 2)**

# Arctic-lower latitude linkages (Day 2)

## **Eclectic:**

**of, denoting, or belonging to a class of ancient philosophers who did not belong to, or found, any recognized school of thought but selected such doctrines as they wished from various schools.**

*-- Free Online Dictionary*

## **Focusing the topic:**

**What is the Arctic climate response to Arctic sea ice change?**

**What is the regional to global response to Arctic sea ice change?**

***Consider the above in a broader context  
(i.e. Arctic amplification)***

# **1. What are actions recommended for NOAA needed to determine relationships between Arctic and lower latitude weather and climate variability and their predictive implications between now and 2020?**

## **1. NOAA should coordinate a synthesis effort (3-5yr) on Arctic-midlatitude linkages**

**a. Assess the current state of knowledge**

**b. Implement “Linkages Diagnosis” Portal, providing access to**

**- Model outputs, e.g. ESRL’s ongoing 3-part global model experiment.**

**- Reanalyses**

**- Archived forecasts**

**- Links to In-situ data**

**- Tools for exploring relationships**

**c. Coordinate process diagnostic studies (NOAA-led Climate Process Team)**

**Consider other tools – more creative approaches – to circumvent model problems, e.g. those that handle clouds better**

## **2. NOAA should be a major player in PPP/YOPP (3-5yr)**

**a. NOAA should lead the North American focus**

## **3. NOAA should adopt a CESM-style paradigm for experimenting with NCEP models (e.g., CFS)**

## Ancillary questions:

What would be more definitive diagnostic, experimental, sensitivity, or predictability/ prediction tests for the Arctic? What are the crucial model deficiencies – are they fatal?

### *Purview of Climate Process Team (1.1.c) -- candidate foci:*

- **Test for a stratospheric pathway; low top/high top comparison**
- **Evaluate the cloud radiation forcing in the models**
- **Assess model resolution-dependence via case studies using high-resolution regional model(s)**

## **2. How can NOAA work together with partners to achieve this progress?**

- **Engage actively in PPP/YOPP (previous slide)**
- **Participate in International Arctic Science Committee (IASC)**
- **Interact with university community, UCAR**
- **Active role in Earth System Prediction Capability (ESPC)**

### **3. What are the three highest priority actions that your group has identified?**

- **Synthesis Report**
- **Coordinated experiments with shared model/data capacity -- can extend to hierarchy of models**
- **Convey state of knowledge about Arctic linkages to broader audiences (public, stakeholders, policy community) through information/outreach efforts – products such as a “fact sheet”, Arctic Report Card, . . .**

## **4. What would be suggested metrics of success that NOAA has made progress in these areas?**

- **Completion of the synthesis (report)**
- **Quantification of the the impact of sea ice on extra-tropical predictability**
- **Bibliometrics**



## **5. What are initial recommended actions?**

- **Formation of the NOAA synthesis coordination team (1.1a)**
- **Chapman conference to provide state of knowledge assessment**
- **Initiate access to data from ESRL model experiments**
- **NOAA's Climate Program Office needs to do an RFP with new monies on these topics**