An assessment of regional sea ice predictability in the Arctic Ocean

R. Cruz-García, V. Guemas, M. Chevallier, F. Massonnet.

Polar Prediction Workshop



Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación 8/May/2018

• Potential predictability of Arctic sea ice assessed in EC-Earth.

Pan-Arctic sea ice predictability.

Sources of regional Arctic sea ice predictability.



Motivation

• Potential predictability of sea ice in Arctic sub-basins.

• Can the regional perspective explain the pan-Arctic picture?

Methodology

Perfect model approach → EC-Earth 2.3 (IFS T159L62 ~125 km, NEMO~LIM2, ~1°)



APPOSITE Protocol \rightarrow Day et al. (2015, GMD)

- ControlRun: 200year long control experiment (1 member; 2005 forcing).
- IdealPred: 3-year long idealized predictions initialized from *ControlRun* (8 members; 9 start dates from July 1st).

Methodology

Diagnostics

 Prognostic Potential Predictability (PPP) of IdealPred:

PPP = 1, perfectly predictable.

• Lagged ControlRun properties.

Methodology

Diagnostics

 Prognostic Potential Predictability (PPP) of IdealPred:

PPP = 1, perfectly predictable.

• Lagged ControlRun properties.



Pan-Arctic: lagged correlations in ControlRun



Pan-Arctic: lagged correlations in ControlRun



Re-emergence mechanisms: consistent with previous studies (Blanchard-Wrigglesworth et al. (2011, AMS); Day et al. (2015, AMS))

• *Summer-to-summer:* In the PPP and in the lagged correlations.

• Long SIV persistence both in the prognostic and the diagnostic estimates.



• *Melt-to-freeze:* In the PPP and in the lagged correlations.

• Long SIV persistence both in the prognostic and the diagnostic estimates.



• Pan-Arctic SIV persistence arises almost entirely from the central Arctic SIV persistence.

How can we explain September re-emergence in IdealPred?



• Pan-Arctic SIV persistence arises almost entirely from the central Arctic SIV persistence.

How can we explain September re-emergence in IdealPred?



• Pan-Arctic SIV persistence arises almost entirely from the central Arctic SIV persistence.

How can we explain September re-emergence in IdealPred?



• Pan-Arctic SIV persistence arises almost entirely from the central Arctic SIV persistence.

How can we explain September re-emergence in IdealPred?



• Based on PPP in IdealPred, Arctic basins are classified in 3 types:

- Based on PPP in IdealPred, Arctic basins are classified in 3 types:
 - > Peripheral seas



- Based on PPP in IdealPred, Arctic basins are classified in 3 types:
 - > Peripheral seas
 - > Central regions





- Based on PPP in IdealPred, Arctic basins are classified in 3 types:
 - > Peripheral seas
 - > Central regions
 - > Labrador Sea







Ocean persistence in the Barents Sea



 Persistence of -ControlRun- summer ocean anomalies appears to be a source of SIE predictability.

• Lack of ice in July prevents to attribute the re-emergence peak of winter to sea ice re-emergence.



- Lack of ice in July prevents to attribute the re-emergence peak of winter to sea ice re-emergence.
- Backward water mass trajectories to find where they were the first July:
 - 8 months before (Feb yr 1)



- Lack of ice in July prevents to attribute the re-emergence peak of winter to sea ice re-emergence.
- Backward water mass trajectories to find where they were the first July:
 - 8 months before (Feb yr 1)
 - 20 months before (Feb yr2)





- Lack of ice in July prevents to attribute the re-emergence peak of winter to sea ice re-emergence.
- Backward water mass trajectories to find where they were the first July:
 - 8 months before (Feb yr 1)
 - 20 months before (Feb yr2)
 - 32 months before (Feb yr 3)









- Significant anti-correlation between the SST anomalies in the Irminger Sea in July and the SIE anomalies in the Labrador Sea 8 and 20 months later for *ControlRun*.
- Labrador Sea ice predictability driven by Subpolar Gyre predictability?

Conclusions

- **Pan-Arctic SIE summer-to-summer re-emergence** in the PPP and the control lagged correlations.
- Pan-Arctic SIE summer-to-summer memory re-emergence and large SIV predictability driven by persistence of SIT in the central Arctic.
- **Peripheral seas** (Atlantic Sector) **re-emergence** driven by **persistence** of **local oceanic** thermal **anomalies**.
- Labrador Sea predictability re-emergence in winter lead by advection of ocean temperature anomalies from the Irminger Sea.
- Mechanisms at play in EC-Earth in present-day conditions: what about other models? Role of model biases? Changes in future climate?
- Local and remote oceanic sources of predictability for sea ice: importance of ocean initialization to enhance regional sea ice predictions.

Thank you

ruben.cruzgarcia@bsc.es

Polar Prediction Workshop



Barcelona Supercomputing Center Centro Nacional de Supercomputación 8/May/2018

Sea ice concentration climatology

March



September



90

100

Model biases?



ControlRun



Ice concentration (%)

Control sea ice extent climatology



